GREENS LIST

Family Provision Litigation: do the basics brilliantly

Adam Craig Barrister

Context

Recent cases

► Civil procedure

Evidence

► Efficiency

Ethics

Costs

Key points

- Costs agreements
- Proper basis
- Cause of action?
- ► Timeliness
- Affidavits

- Focus on need
- Stick to the process
- ► Try to settle
- Costs

Costs

- Historically Courts have jealously guarded costs
- Court's inherent jurisdiction
- Civil Procedure Act 2010; Legal Profession Uniform Law
- ▶ Re Jabe

Summary dismissal - a cause of action?

Proper basis / no real prospect of success

> Part IV - not usually appropriate for summary dismissal - see *Re Winter-Cooke*

But:

Re Fitzgerald; Re Stojanovska; Dunn v Perpetual; Lemmens v Davis

Affidavits - nail them

- Evidence in chief
- Takes work
- Focus on what matters
- Beware of adverse costs Re Dodson (No 3)
- Assess merits: *Re Janson*

- Prove the case: Stanojevic v Riboskic
- Corroboration: *Re Christu*
- Cross-examination: Joss v Joss;
 Rodolico v Rodolico; Dunn v Perpetual

The basic law and facts - nail them (too)

Eligibility and need

Affidavit provides foundation

Not so much the colour and movement: Joss v Joss

Adult child: *Re Christu*

Domestic partner: *Re Finnie*

It is especially important to nail need

Full and frank disclosure

Court cannot speculate: *Re Janson*

Costs against plaintiff: Re Schlink

Do not waste time and effort

- Follow the process
- Generally no discovery
- No discovery to find an alternative cause of action: *Re Fitzgerald*
- No subpoenas until it is clear the issue is relevant: *Harrison v Bauld*

Negotiate, settle - but be smart about it

Look to resolve - mediation compulsory

Costs - fair and reasonable: Re Schlink

> Unrepresented litigants, dealings outside of mediation: *Rodolico v Rodolico*

Appeals

Difficult to appeal - need to show an erroneous exercise of discretion

Security for costs: *Kronemann v Papaioannou*